

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
	P.O. Box 1450	
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
	WALLY HENTO GOV	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/663,499	09/15/2003	Richard Johnson	P0313 5881		
7590 08/02/2004		į.	EXAM	INER	
Burkhart & Burkhart			LEE, Y MY QUACH		
Patent Attorney 940 Dakota Av	4		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
Whitefish, MT	59937		2875		
		DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					em			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action	S	10/663,499		JOHNSON, RICH	ARD			
Office Action	Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	,			
		Y Quach Le		2875	-			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	E of this communication ap	pears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maximum of the period for reply specified about 15 NO period for reply is specified. - Failure to reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply within the set or expecting the period for reply specified and the period for reply spec	ORY PERIOD FOR REPL THIS COMMUNICATION. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. ailing date of this communication. bye is less than thirty (30) days, a replatove, the maximum statutory period dended period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailinglee 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event by within the statuto will apply and will o e, cause the applic	, however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) day: expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on <u>15 S</u>	September 20	<u>03</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL	2b)⊠ This	s action is no	n-final.					
·	on is in condition for allowa	•	· •		e merits is			
closed in accordance	ce with the practice under i	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	pending in the application							
' ' _ () ()	im(s) is/are withdra	awn from cons	sideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are	-							
7)	re objected to. subject to restriction and/o	or election rec	wirement					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	subject to restriction and/t	or election rec	junement.					
Application Papers								
· ·	objected to by the Examin		1-1 1-157 -1-1	1 - d 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 5	1			
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	sheet(s) including the correct		•		FR 1 121(d)			
11) The oath or declarat								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1								
2. Certified copi		its have been its have been	received. received in Applicati	on No	Stage			
•	om the International Burea	•						
* See the attached det	ailed Office action for a list	t of the certific	ed copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	TO 200)		n	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (P² Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 		4	I)					
	ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	')	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawing figure 6 is objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because it includes the following reference signs (H) and (12') not mentioned in the description. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 6, the brief description of drawing figure 6 is missing. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1 to 5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,619,816.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 of the present application requires an elongated generally tubular light transmission element and claims 1, 5 and 6 of Patent '816 set forth a hollow tube light transmission element. One person skilled in the art would conclude that the invention defined in

the claim of the pending application is an obvious variation of the invention defined in the claims of the patent because it is known that tubular is defined as having a hollow tube and the tube is defined as a hollow cylinder especially one that conveys a fluid or functions as a passage of which the feature would inherently be elongate.

5. Claims 6 to 10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,619,816 in view of Coleman et al.

Claims 1 to 10 of Patent '816 disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However, claims 1 to 10 of Patent '816 do not disclose that the hollow tubular light transmission element, readable as an elongated tubular light transmission element, having a first end secured to the light device, a second end secured to the edible confection and serving to space the light device from the edible confection.

Coleman et al. teach an elongated generally tubular light transmission element (10) having a first end secured to the light device with a second end (14) secured to the edible confection and serving to space the light device from the edible confection while receiving novelty item such as toy (34) or candy (column 2, line 66).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the light transmission element of claims 1 to 10 of Patent '816 with a first end secured to the light device and a second end to the edible confection, as shown by Coleman et al., for serving to space the light device from the edible confection while receiving novelty item such as toy or candy.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cook et al., Bennington and Fernandez et al. are cited to show other pertinent novelty-containing light transmission tubes and light devices for confectionery products.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y Quach Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2373. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday and Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

Art Unit: 2875

should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is 571-272-2815.

Y. Q. July 25, 2004 Y Quach Lee Patent Examiner Art Unit 2875

- zmyned Lee